$\sim$  . The set  $\}$ 

## **SUMMARY**

5

*5* 

The physiological plasminogen activators (pPAs), such as urokinase (UK) and tissue plasminogen activator (tPA), can activate any mammalian PG molecule irrespective of its **source organism, indicating** that these protein-protein interactions are conserved due **to the** mutual **co-evolution of interaction** partners **(Goh,** Bogan **et al.** 2000; **Gladysheva,**  Turner **et** al. **2003).** In **contrast,** members of the pathogenic **bacterial genera**   $Streptococcus$  and  $Staphylococcus$  secrete co-factor proteins, such as streptokinase (SK) **and staphylokinase (SAR), respectively,** also hown **as non-physiological** plasminogen **activators @PAS),** which activate **PG** in a species-specific manner. **In case of SK,** which **is the main** subject of the present study, initial SK.WG **complex matures to** a **SK.HPN**  activator complex which then catalytically transforms 'substrate' HPG to HPN. The **mechanism of action of SK activation of the partner molecule HPG/HPN has been an investigative issue** since **last few** decades and **extensive biophysical and** biochemical **studies** have been done. The main **focus** of the studies **has been mainly to** decipher the mechanism of action of SK activation of the partner molecule HPG/HPN has been an<br>investigative issue since last few decades and extensive biophysical and biochemical<br>studies have been done. The main focus of the studies h **three domains of SK that are crucial** for **binding of partner** HPG **(Young, Shi et** a!. **1995; Parrado, Conejero-Lara et al. 1996; Fay and Bokka 1998; Young, Shi et al. 1998).** 

, **Site-specific inutagenesis is a** useful **method** for validating functional **residues of protein**  - **and** thus provides **new insights** into the structure-function mechanisin **of** proteins, **especially when the crystal structure data on a** protein is **already** available. **Through** site directed **mutagenesis, few** distinct **'exosites' which are** important **in SK-mediated HPG activation and interaction of substrate HPG** have **been** identified **(Chaudhary,** Vasudha **et d. 1999;** Dhar, Pande et **al.** 2002; **Yadav, Datt et al. 2008;** Aneja, Datt et **al. 2009).**  Random mutagenesis has earlier been **used** to define **very** critical residues **in proteins**  with known structural information and also the ones with no solved structure (Hermes, **Blacklow et** al. 1990; Holm, Koivula et **al.** 1990; **Shibata, Kato et al, 1998; Ward, Field**  <sup>I</sup>et **al. 2004). In this** study **we have** isolated and examined **a series** of random-mutant clones of the COOH-terminal half (spanning some part of  $\beta$ -domain and complete  $\gamma$ -\$? domain) of **SK** (residues 2 **10-4 14)** to fmd **out new exosite, as** there is **a** little information , **regarding the specific role of this** region **of** SK **(Young,** Shi et al. **1995; Pamdo,**  Conejero-Lara et al. 1996; Wu, Shi et al. 2001). The C-terminal half of SK (residues **21 0-474), was** selected **and an** em **prone PCR was done to deliberately introduce mutations in** this **segment.** The **results described above strongly indicate the presence of a substrate interacting** region **in the 7-domain of SR. The** region **spanning residues 314- 347 in SK is a** catalytically important **locus** that **primarily contributes toward processing of** substrate HPG by the SKHPN complex. This **has been** elucidated **by results of kinetic studies. As a** *result,* **in** the present study **the** significance **ofthe cbpstnam'hection** of the . coiled-coil **region** of **SK** in substrate **HPG activation** has **been unambiguously**  : **demonstrated. Taking into account** the **present results of our steady-state kinetic studies,**  - **there clearly seem to** be **two functionally** distinct **loci,** one **encompassing residues**  - **roughly 345-380 of the SK y-domain, which faces towards the partner PN and might be involved in** formation **and/or activation** of **the 1:l activator complex, and another**  encompassing **the region bm residues roughly 320-340,** which **seems** to **be interacting**  . with substrate **PG in** which, through mutagenesis, **critical residues** have **been shown to be functionally** impimportant **in enzyme-substrate interactions. From** the **thermal factors of**   $\mathbf{f}$  the SK.PN structure (Wang, Lin et al. 1998), it also appears that the peptide backbone : **bearing the constellation of** charged **residues** in the **coiIed coil** region **of the domain of S~especiallyksp322,~g324,Asp328,Asp325,Arg330,Asp331,Lys332,andLys334 is highly flexible and can potentially participate in an extended network of salt bridges** :; ' and **hydrogen bonds within the coiled-coil region or with partner and/or substrate HPG.**  ; **A priori, a** salt bridge between **Arg330 of SK and Glu714 of the** methionine **loop** of **substrate pPG** seems **to provide a rigidifying** anchor **to faciIitate** optimal **interaction with** \$\* **substrate.** The **present** study, **as also recent studies in the case** of other **exosites** in SK (Wang, Lin et al. 1998; Wang, Tang et al. 1999; Boxrud, Fay et al. 2000; Yadav, Datt et **g. al. 20081,** has **convincingIy shown that** this region **is** indeed catalytically **important**  . **through its** interaction **with the substrate catalytic** domain **and is** not **important** in magen activation **per se. Based upon the observations reported in this study and earIier**  findings (Dhar, Pande et al. 2002; Yadav, Datt et al. 2008; Aneja, Datt et al. 2009; Tharp, ,I **Laha et al. 2009), it can be** envisioned that **the substrate HPG** interadon **sites are** not **centered at one** or two **epitopes in SK, but** rather, these **seem to be strategicaIly**  "scattered" over all the three domains of SK. Thus, the present work along with **:previously gleaned understanding on** SK exosites **opens a new and interesting paradigm**  <sup>j</sup>**for** the **cataIytic** mechanism of action of **this** medically important co-factor **protein** (Dhar, Yande **et al.** 2002; **Yadav, Datt et d. 2008; Aneja,** Datt et **al. 2009; Tharp,** Laha **et** al.

 $\ddot{\phantom{1}}$ 

2009). Earlier, the importance of exosites, especially in providing substrate specificity : via **facilitated docking of the** latter, is **well** demonstrated **in blood coagulatioa**  i **proteinases, especially** prothrombinases **(Boskovic and Krishnaswarny 2000; Huntington**  <sup>a</sup>**2005; Bock, Panizzi et al.** 2007). **h** the **case of SK too, it seem reasonable to** assume **F.** that **the different exosites in** SK **probably modify the substrate specificity by** providing ?:; additional docking sites for an enhanced presentation of the scissile peptide bond in **substrate HPG onto the enzyme active site through their precise interactions onto cognate "receptor" sites in the catalytic domain of substrate HPG.<br>SK is an important thrombolytic agent that is still being used world-wid b.** "receptor" **sites in the catalyhc** domain **of substrate** HPG.

i.

**SK is an important thrombolytic** agent **that is still being used world-wide to treat acute**  myocardial infarction and ischemic stroke especially in cost-conscious societies (Marder and **Stewart 2002).** It **is an indirect** fibrinoIytic agents; **it** requires *HPG* **as a substrate** for <sup>3</sup>**thrombolysis. Presence of thrombus-associated HPG is in limited supply** (Henkin, . Marcofte **et al. 1991)** and **this** results in **suboptimal. lysis of retracted** thrombi **and**  sion of occIuded **vessels. Thus, one of the major limitations** in **successful development of the therapeutic approach is a lack of easily** available **'supply of**  ' HPGHPN **and** its **bcated derivatives.** Moreover, **various truncated and/or mutated**  . **derivatives** of **HPG are required for stmcturaI studies** especially **in** order **to define interin a interactions in the SK-HPG 'system'. Expression of MicroPG and MiniPG has** :; been demonstrated fiom **various sources such as** *Pichia pastoris* (Nagai, Dmmsin **et al.**  (2003), baculovirus (Wang, Lottenberg et al. 1995) and *E. coli* (Medynski, Tuan et al. 2007). Different *truncated forms of HPG in Pichia pastoris* are also reported (Joshi, **Nanda et al. 201 1) but to** make mutations end **expression is cumbersome in** *Pichia fpastoris,* **also non-mammalian glycosyIation** and **heterogeneity in glycosylatian** imparts **lydispersity in the protein, which** limits **its** usability **in** defming precise **structural**  ation. **Hence, to devise a platform wherein almost all the forms** of IIPG **(truncated can be expressed** and **isolated, and which retain** the **activity** and **sconformations as of the native HPG, was extremely important. This can then be used to gexplore** the SK-PG system and to sequentially decipher the role of individual domains of *G* and **the sequential conformational changes in structure of SK and PG by** solution**ased biophysical methods, such as SAXS. This infomation** can **lay** the **basics for the**  design of new thrombolytics.

The present **study demonstrates the successful expression of recombinant HPG derivatives as Bs in** *E. coli,* and their successful **refoIding with native-like intact**  functional **properties. Importantly, the refolding protocols have** been **simplified** to obtain **an** mified **protocol** for **the** refolding **of truncated as we11 as** full length recombinant . HPGs. **Circular dichroism** data of **HPG md rGluPG show similarity in secondary**  - structtlral contents **in these proteins,** which **further confirms** hat **the** protocols **evolved**  ., **for** recombinant *HRPG* **expression** and **in** *vim* **refolding are indeed** optimal. **Kinetic**  , . parameters for the activation **of** rMicroPG, rMiniPG, **rMidiPG rK3PG, rLysPG** and - **rGIuPG were compared to HPG (blood plasma-derived** *HPG).* **These activity analyses** - . **established the functional authenticity and parity** of the **refolded proteins with native**  *HPG.* **The** kinetic **parameters suggested** that efficient **utilization** of the different *HPG*  **derivatives as** substrates **for SK.HPN** enzyme **complex** is dependent **on** presence of *<sup>1</sup>*' laingles. **To** the **best** of **our knowledge,** this **is** the **first study in** literature **on successful,**  <sup>f</sup>**high-yield refolding of** *E, coli* IB **derived rK3PG,** rLysPG **and rGluPG.** 

**T** 

% **t. f'** 

p,

After the establishment of the kinetic parameters, the conformational studies of rPG were<br>carried out. The presence of millimolar amounts of EACA changes the conformation of **rn close** to **open, which cause** an increase in **the yoJume of molecule. The results of our DLS** experiments **clearly suggest** that there **indeed** occurs **a** change in the size **of and** HPG when **EACA is added.** This result **is in** accordance **with** the **previous**  -.report **based on SAXS experiments of HPG (Mangel, Lin et al. 1990). The** secondary' -:structure **of PG does** not change **during** the conversion **of closed to open form** (Mangel, ^Lin et **al. 1990).** This **indicates** that **shape of PG is** formed **by the domain** interaction **and this interaction gets abolished upon conversion to the open form. The effect of** substrate :conformation **can aIso be studied with** the **steady state** kinetics **using** SK.HPN **as** an **for the PG.** It **was observed that the rate of catalysis increases in the absence** of **aC1** since the substrate (truncated derivatives of rPG) is in open conformation. Furthermore, previous studies have also shown that the rate of catalysis increases when **Hegger HPG** is in open conformation (Collen 1980; Chibber and Castellino 1986;  $k$ Gaffney, Urano et al. 1988).

**e present** study has dso **explored** the **events that take place during SK-mediated PG SAXS-based approach was taken to gain** information about the **romolecular** conformations, **flexibility, shape and assmbly state of SK** and **PG** 

**either individually or in complex, in solution. Inactive** mutants **of PO i.e. rGluPG (S741A), rMidiPG (S741A) and rMicroPG (S741A)** were made **to make** stable **gesbiaarylternary complexes** with **SK. The** measured **SAXS** data of **SK, IWG, HPN,** rPG . . their binary and ternary **complexes** confirmed **the globular scattering nature and** absence **of any observable aggregation in the** protein **samples,** This **is fie** first **study which**  describes structure of full length SK, HPN and rPGs (rGluPG and rMidiPG). i Furthermore, the current **study also establishes the structure of the** binary and ternary *complexes of SK with PG which is vital for mechanistic insights into SK-mediated PG* **activation.** 

r-, **5:**  s. **a,** 

**f!** 

**h.** "<

**Is:** 

In the present study, we have been able to obtain the modeled structure of SK which **shows that SK in** solution **adapts a** structure **resembling** an **extended string of** beads **with**   $D_{\text{max}}$  and  $R_G$  130Å and 41Å, respectively (Fig. 5.8, Table 5.1). The SK and MicroPG . **binary complex suggests a conformational shape** change **in SK** from **elongated flexible** . p. \$' **string** of beads **molecule to "en** C' **shape pig. 5.8 and Fig. 5.91,** where the **three**  F; domains of **SK** form **a crator into** which the heataIyhc **domain of substrate** KPG gets % **F+ docked. In** the **ternary complex of SK.MicroPG.MicmPG, SK fUrthu gets collapsed to a**  : **'closed** C' **shape (Fig.** 5.10). **Due** to its **flexible nature,** *SK* **undergoes** sequential and .; **temporal confomationaI** changes from extended form *when* **alone, to an** 'open **C' shape in binary complex, and further, to a 'closed C' shape in the ternary complex (Fig. 5.12).** 

*SAXS* **experiments** dso **show tbat W'PG,** HPN **and rGluPG** are **dI right-handed**  molecules (Fig. 5.1 **1).** Furthermore, it **has been** determined **from** the **present study**  that HPG is spiral in shape with  $D_{\text{max}}$  and  $R_G$  of 130Å and 38Å, respectively. Our **ons are** in agreement **with** the **results** reported **by other groups (Mangel, Lh** et al. 1990; Ponting, Holland et al. 1992). But these earlier studies lacked the solution-**Example 3 It is extended as** *been* established recently (Law et al. 2012). However, **ome penalities appear as some parts** of crysrd lie **outside** the **SAXS cage when the**  *constructure of blood-derived HPG is placed inside the SAXS cage (Law et al.,*  $\theta$ *)* 012). **The occurance of penalties** clearly **suggests that crystal parameters and SAXS thata** provided by Law et al. have some discrepancies. However, in the present study, the **ood-derived HPG** modeled structure and **SAXS cage are superimposable. Additionally, e** have **also established** the **SAXS-based** stmcture **of E. coli-derived** fllliPG. **rG1uPG**  (non-glycosylated) is also a right-handed spiral structure but is more compact than HPG.

 $106$ 

It **undergoes sequential confornational** changes from **a spiral and compact** structure **when** aIone, **to** elongated **K5 and** catalytic domain **in** binary **and ternary complexes** of **SR**  and **PG.** Moreover, **luingle 4 and lcringIe 5 of substrate PG** come **closer to** the **P-domain**  of **SK when** in **the SK.NPN.ffiluPG** ternary **complex (Fig. 5.10).** 

The results of our **SAXS experiments show** that HPN undergoes **conformational**  , **changes when it** forms **a binary complex with SK.** The **valley inside HPN gets** broaden up to accommodate SK inside its valley (Fig. 5.9). Furthermore, upon interaction of this SK.HPN binary complex with substrate PG, HPN further undergoes conformational . change. For instance, it **attains inverted question** mark **"i"** shape **when** MicroPG **was** -.  $i$  **used** as a substrate and it becomes "L" shaped when GluPG was used as a substrate (Fig. 5.9, Fig. 5.10 and Fig. 5.12).

Collectively, from the SAXS based structural analysis of individual proteins, their binary **and ternary complexes it can be concluded that SK along with partner HPN and substrate** GluPG all together undergoes sequential conformational changes during the process of  $\catalysis$  (Fig. 5.12).

 $\sim$ 

*I.* 

**i2** 2